

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/657,980	GEFFROY, CEDRIC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Preeti Kumar	1796	

All Participants:

(1) Preeti Kumar.

(2) Kevin McVeigh.

Status of Application: Notice of Appeal 4/23/2007

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Kumar contacted the attorney of record, Mr. McVeigh, on 12/17/2007, regarding any filing subsequent to the Notice of Appeal filed 4/23/2007. No response was received from the attorney, and PALM & EDAN do not indicate any response subsequent to the Notice of Appeal to date. /PK/.